Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 96 (1975) 461-470 © Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne - Printed in The Netherlands

TRANSITION METAL-CARBON BONDS

XXXVIII*. ALKENYL-PLATINUM(II) COMPLEXES FROM PLATINUM ACETYLIDES

H. DAVID EMPSALL, BERNARD L. SHAW^{*} and ANTHONY J. STRINGER

School of Chemistry, The University, Leeds LS2 9JT (Great Britain)

(Received March 25th, 1975)

Summary

Platinum(II) acetylides of the type trans- $[Pt(C=CR)_2(PMe_2Ph)_2]$ (R = CH₂OMe, CMe₂OH, Ph) are reduced by hydrazine hydrate to dialkenylplatinum-(II) complexes of the type trans- $[Pt(CH=CHR)_2(PMe_2Ph)_2]$ in which the hydrogens are mutually *cis* on the alkenyl double bonds. Some of the complexes exist as rotational isomers in solution due to restricted rotation about the Pt—C single bonds. Mono-alkenyl complexes $[PtCl(CH=CHR)(PMe_2Ph)_2]$ (R = Ph or CMe₂OH) were prepared by the hydrogen chloride fission of the dialkenyl complexes. trans- $[PtCl(CCI=CHCH_2OMe)(PMe_2Ph)_2]$ is formed when trans- $[Pt-(C=CCH_2OMe)_2(PMe_2Ph)_2]$ is treated with hydrogen chloride whereas similar treatment of $[Pt(C=CCMe_2OH)(PMe_2Ph)_2]$ gives $[PtCl(CCI=CHCMe=CH_2)-(PMe_2Ph)_2]$. ¹H, ¹³C and ³¹P NMR data are given and discussed.

Introduction

In 1959 Chatt and Rowe [2] reported briefly that $[PtCl_2(P-n-Pr_3)_2]$ when treated with phenylacetylene in the presence of hydrazine hydrate gives the diphenylacetylide complex, trans- $[Pt(C=CPh)_2(P-n-Pr_3)_2]$ and the distyryl complex, trans- $[Pt(CH=CHPh)_2(P-n-Pr_3)_2]$. In their brief report structural evidence was not given and the stereochemistry about the double bonds in the styryl complex was not determined. They made the reasonable suggestion that trans- $[PtHCl(P-n-Pr_3)_2]$ was an intermediate in the formation of the styryl complex. In our work we have found that compounds of the type trans- $[Pt(cis-CH=CHR)_2-(PMe_2Ph)_2]$ [where R = Ph, CH₂OMe or C₆H₁₀(OH)] are formed by treating

^{*} For Part XXXVII see ref. 1.

trans- $[Pt(C \equiv CR)_2(PMe_2Ph)_2]$ with hydrazine hydrate in ethanol for three days. Similarly the styryl complex of Chatt and Rowe was also probably formed by hydrazine reduction of the corresponding diphenylacetylide.

We have also prepared alkenyl—platinum complexes by treating platinum acetylides with hydrogen chloride. Before discussing our results we will briefly review the work done previously on alkenyl—platinum complexes.

Attempts to prepare $[PtBr(CH=CHPh)(PEt_3)_2]$ or $[Pt(CH=CHPh)_2(PEt_3)_2]$ by treating $[PtCl_2(PEt_3)_2]$ with styryImagnesium bromide gave *trans*, *trans*-1,4diphenyl-1,3-butadiene, *trans*- $[PtHBr(PEt_3)_2]$, and *trans*- $[PtBr_2(PEt_3)_2]$: no styryl complexes were isolated [3]. The complex *cis*- $[PtBr(CH=CHPh)(PPh_3)_2]$ can, however, be prepared by the action of styryl bromide on $[Pt^0(PPh_3)_4]$ [4,5]. Treatment of the complex *trans*- $[PtCl_2(PEt_2Ph)_2]$ with Me₃SnCH=CH₂ gives the complex *trans*- $[PtCl(CH=CH_2)(PEt_2Ph)_2]$ [6]. There are several examples where alkenyl—platinum complexes are formed by insertion of an acetylene into a platinum—hydrogen bond [7-11]. In addition a wide range of acetylene complexes of the type $[Pt(ac)(PPh_3)_2]$ (where ac is a substituted acetylene) react with HCl or CF₃CO₂H to give alkenyl—platinum complexes [12-14]. In all these compounds the platinum and added hydrogen are *cis* on the double bond and the addition (insertion) occurs in a Markownikoff manner.

Results and discussion

TABLE 1

Our new alkenyl compounds of type trans- $[Pt(CH=CHR)_2(PMe_2Ph)_2]$ were prepared by treating the corresponding acetylides with hydrazine hydrate in warm ethanol for three days. The complexes (see Table 1) were characterized by microanalysis, by ¹H, ¹³C and ³¹P NMR spectroscopy and by IR spectroscopy, which will be discussed later. The structure of the complex with $R = CH_2OMe$ has been determined by means of X-ray diffraction [15] and is shown schematically in I. The alkenyl groups are trans and there is a *cis*-arrangement of the platinum and $-CH_2OMe$ groups about the C=C bond. The Pt--C distance of 2.13 (±0.02) Å is significantly larger than the Pt--C distance in trans-[PtCl-(CH=CH₂)(PEt₂Ph)₂] [6], (2.03 ± 0.02 Å). This increased bond length is probably due to the large trans-bond weakening effect of carbon on the Pt--C bond

	Yield (%)	Colour	М.р. (°С)	Analytical data found (calcd.) (%)		
				c	н	Halogen
[Pt {CH=CHCMe2(OH) }2L2]	42	White	132-135	48.5 (48.65)	6.1 (6.3)	5.64 (6.00)
[Pt CH=CHC6H10(OH) 2L2]	60	White	153-156	53.2 (53.4)	6.6 (6.45)	
[Pt{CH=CHCH2OMe}2L2]	53	White	104-106	47.15 (47.0)	5.8 (5.9)	
[Pt(CH=CHPh)2L2]	64	White	142-145	56.55 (56.7)	5.4 (5.35)	
[PtCl {CH=CHCMe2(OH) L2]	92	Cream	110-119	46.9 (47.25)	4.9 (4.8)	5.65 (6.0)
[PtCl(CH=CHPh)L ₂]	79	White	146-148	43.0 (42.6)	5.25 (5.3)	5.6 (5.8)
[PtCl(CCl=CHCH2OMe)L2]	54	White	103-109	39.05 (39.25)	4.55 (4.6)	11.75 (11.6)
[PtCl(CCl=CHCMe=CH ₂)L ₂]	70	Yellow	100-105	42.05 (41.45)	4.65 (4.65)	11.2 (11.65)

YIELD, COLOUR, MELTING POINT AND ANALYTICAL DATA FOR SOME ALKENYL—PLATINUM COMPLEXES (L = PMe_2Ph)



trans to itself. The Pt—P bond length of 2.280 (± 0.004) Å is approximately the same as that found for trans-[PtCl₂(PEt₃)₂] [16], (2.30 \pm 0.02 Å).

The proton NMR spectrum of trans- $[Pt(CH=CHCH_2OMe)_2(PMe_2Ph)_2]$ (Table 2) shows a phosphorus—methyl triplet pattern with satellites (due to 195-platinum) characteristic of trans-phosphines. The central resonance patterns of the β -vinylic protons (i.e. excluding satellites due to coupling with 195-platinum) consists of an 18-line pattern. The coupling ${}^{3}J(H^{1}-H^{2})$ of 11.4 Hz is consistent with *cis*-hydrogens on the double bond. The value of the *trans*-coupling $^{3}J(Pt-H^{2}) = 64$ Hz is much smaller than the value of the trans-coupling $^{3}J(Pt-H) =$ 148 Hz $for trans-[PtBr(CH=CH_2)(PMe_2Ph)_2]$ and is probably due to carbon having a stronger trans-influence than bromine. Previously values of ${}^{3}J(Pt-H)$ in organo-platinum complexes have been shown to be strongly dependent on the nature of the trans-ligand [17-19]. The 'H NMR spectrum of the complex trans-[Pt(CH=CHPh), (PMe, Ph),] has a P-methyl triplet pattern showing trans-phosphines but the resonances of the vinylic protons were obscured by those of the phenyl protons. However, treatment of the complex with an ethereal solution of hydrogen chloride gives trans-[PtCl(CH=CHPh)(PMe,Ph),]. Although most of the vinylic resonances of this complex were also obscured by the aromatic resonances, we could observe the upper field platinum-195 satellite of one of the vinyl hydrogens. This satellite appears as a doublet of triplets with ${}^{3}J(H-H) =$ 11, and J(P-H) = 1.3 Hz, ${}^{3}J(H-H)$ is of the correct order for *cis*-hydrogens on the double bond. This value of the proton-proton coupling constant can be compared to those for trans-[PtBr(CH=CHPh)(PPh₃)₂], where ${}^{3}J(H-H) = 16.8$ Hz when the CH=CH protons are mutually trans [14] or 10.5 Hz when they are cis [5]. We therefore think both our 2-phenylethynyl(β -styryl) complexes have cis-double bonds.

There is a considerable chemical shift difference (0.27 ppm) for the two in equivalent methyl resonances of PMe₂Ph for the complex *trans*-[PtCl(CH= CHPh)(PMe₂Ph)₂] indicating that there is no plane of symmetry through the phosphorus—metal bonds. This means that the styryl group is not rotating about the metal—carbon bond at a sufficient rate to cause equivalence of the phosphine methyls. A similar effect is found for *o*-substituted aryl—nickel complexes such as *trans*-[NiBr(o-tolyl)(PMe₂Ph)₂] [20].

The product $[Pt{CH=CHCMe_2OH}_2(PMe_2Ph)_2]$, obtained by the hydrazine reduction of the corresponding diacetylide, shows a strong broad absorption at ca. 3300 cm⁻¹ due to $\nu(OH)$. The ¹H NMR spectrum is very complex and

۰,
<
F.
~
\geq
9
~
- 8
Σ
Z
_
- 22
-
C1
r-1
5
_
<
- 64
_

$ \frac{\delta}{[\text{Free}(\text{Free}(\text{Cree}(\text{Free}(\text{Cree}(Cre$	n	P-Me reson	ance	fordanatory be block on all the lower laws in which and the	Other resona	nces	anna ann an Anna an Ann
$[Ptc(H=GHCP_{2}OMO_{2}L_{2}] = 1.66t 7.2 \\ Ptc(H=CHCM_{2}(OH)_{2}L_{2}) = 1.66t 7.2 \\ Ptc(H=CHCM_{2}(OH)_{2}L_{2}) = 1.66t 7.2 \\ Ptc(H=CHCM_{2}(OH)_{2}L_{2}) = 1.76t 7.2 \\ Ptc(H=CHCM_{2}(OH)_{2}L_{2}) = 1.76t 7.2 \\ Ptc(H=CHCM_{2}(OH)_{2}L_{2}) = 1.76t 7.2 \\ Ptc(H=1) = 1.71t 7.2 \\ Ptc(H=1) = 1.71t 7.2 \\ Ptc(H=1) = 1.71t 7.2 \\ Ptc(H=1) = 1.76t 7.2 \\ Ptc(H=1) = 1.86t 7.2 \\$		2	$ ^{2}J(PH) + ^{4}J(PH) $	3J(PtH)	5	ſ	Assignment ^b
$ [P_{1}(CH-CHCMe_{2}(OH)]_{1}L_{2}] = \begin{bmatrix} P_{1}(T_{1}^{-C}(T_{2}^{-}), 0 & CH_{2} \\ -^{0}(P_{1}(PH_{2}), 8, 0 & 0) \\ -^{0}(P_{1}(PH_{2}), 8, 0 & 0) \\ -^{0}(P_{1}(PH_{2}), 8, 0 & 0) \\ -^{0}(P_{1}(PH_{2}), 2, 2, 0, 3 & 0) \\ -^{0}(P_{1}(PH_{2}), 2, 1, 0, 3 & 0) \\ -^{0}(P_{1}(PH_{2}), 2, 0, 0) \\ -^{0}(P_{1}(PH_{2}), 2, 0) \\ -^{0}(P_$	[Pt(CH=CHCH20Me)2L2]	1,66¢	7.2	33.4	6.74m	³ J(CH2-H ²) 6.0 ³ J(H ¹ -H ²) 11.4 ⁴ J(PH ²) 2.4 ³ J(PtH ²) 64	H ²
$ [Pt (H=CHCMe_2(OH)]_{2L_2}] = 1.75i = 7.2 = 3.3 = 6.99 = \frac{3}{2} (H^2-H^1) 13.6 \pm 0.3 = H^1 = \frac{1}{2} (H^2-H^1) 13.6 \pm 0.3 = H^1 = \frac{1}{2} (H^2-H^1) 13.6 \pm 0.3 = 1.71i = 7.2 = 3.3 = 0.61 = \frac{3}{2} (H^2-H^1) 13.6 \pm 0.3 = 1.71i = 7.2 = 3.3 = 0.61 = \frac{3}{2} (H^2-H^1) 13.6 \pm 0.3 = 1.71i = 1.71i = 7.2 = 3.3 = 0.61 = \frac{3}{2} (H^2-H^1) 13.6 \pm 0.3 = 1.71i = 1.71i = 7.2 = 3.3 = 0.61 = \frac{3}{2} (H^2-H^2) 13.6 \pm 1 = 0.1$					3.69d	${}^{3}J(H^{2}-CH_{2})$ 6.0 ${}^{4}J(PtCH_{2})$ 8.0	CH ₂
$ [Pr(c)=CLCMe_{2}(CTI)_{2}L_{2} \\ I_{1}T_{11} \\ T_{11} \\ T_{12} \\ I_{2}(T_{1}) \\ I_{1}T_{11} \\ T_{2} \\ I_{2}(T_{1}) \\ I_{1}T_{11} \\ T_{2} \\ I_{2}(T_{1}) \\$					3,18s		OMe
[FCI = CI =	[Pt {CH=CHCMe2(UH)} 2L2] Isomer A	1.75t	7,2	33	6,99	³ J(H ² —H ¹) 12.8 ± 0.3	Нί
$\label{eq:constraints} \mbox{Interl} \mbox$		1,71t	7.2	33	d of t	3J(PH ¹) 2.2 ± 0,3 3.//p+H1) 98 + 9	·
$\left[Pr(CI=CHC(Me)=CH_2)L_2 = 0.3 \\ I_1T_1 = 1.75 \\ I_1T_1 = 1.75 \\ I_1T_1 = 1.72 \\ I_1T_1 = 1.72 \\ I_1T_1 = 1.2 \\ I_1T_1 = 1.2 \\ I_2T_2 = 0.5 \\ I_1T_1 = 1.2 \\ I_2T_2 = 0.5 \\ I_1T_1 = 1.2 \\ I_2T_2 = 0.5 \\ I_1T_2 = 0.5 \\ I_1T_1 = 1.48 \\ I_2 = 0.5 \\ I_1R_1 = 1.3 \\ I_2 = 0.5 \\ I_2 = 0.5 \\ I_1R_1 = 1.3 \\ I_2 = 0.5 \\ I_1R_1 = 1.3 \\ I_2 = 0.5 \\ I_2 = 0.5 \\ I_1R_1 = 1.3 \\ I_2 = 0.5 \\ I_1R_1 = 1.3 \\ I_2 = 0.5 \\ I_1R_1 = 0.5 \\ I_1R_1 = 0.5 \\ I_2 = 0.5 \\ I_1R_1 $					6,60	$^{3}J(H^{1}-H^{2})$ 12,8 ± 0.3	112
Isomer B 1.764 -7.2 33 -6.75 $3(H^{+}-H)$ 18.0 ± 0H 0H 0.908 -7.05 -7.1764 -7.2 33 -6.75 $3(H^{+}-H)$ 18.0 ± 1 0H 0H -7.2 -7.2 33 -7.24 -7.2 -7.24 $-7.$					d of t	${}^{4}_{J}(PH^{2}) 2,2 \pm 0,3$ 3 // D / U 2 / $\sim 76 \pm 9$	
Isomer B 1.764 7.2 33 $^{-0.90s}_{-4.(PH^{2})}$ $^{-1.764}_{-1.711}$ 7.2 33 $^{-0.90s}_{-4.(PH^{2})}$ $^{-0.165}_{-4.(PH^{2})}$ $^{-0.165}_{-4.(PH^{2})}$ $^{-0.165}_{-4.(PH^{2})}$ $^{-0.165}_{-4.(PH^{2})}$ $^{-0.165}_{-2.10}$ $^{-0.165}_{-2.(PH^{2})}$ $^{-0.165}_{-2.(PH^{2})}$ $^{-0.165}_{-2.(PH^{2})}$ $^{-0.16}_{-2.(PH^{2})}$ $^{-0.16}_{-2.2}$ $^{-0.16}_{-2.(PH^{2})}$ $^{-0.16}_{-2.2}$ $^{-0.16}_{-2.(PH^{2})}$ $^{-0.16}_{-2.2}$ $^{-0.16}_{-2.(PL^{2})}$ $^{-0.16}_{-2.2}$ $^{-0.16}_{-2.(PL^{2})}$ $^{-0.16}_{-2.2}$ $^{-0.16}_{-2.(PL^{2})}$ $^{-0.16}_{-2.2}$ $^{-0.16}_{-2.2}$ $^{-0.16}_{-2.(PL^{2}-H^{2})}$ $^{-0.16}_{-2.2}$ $^{-0.16}_{-2.2}$ $^{-0.16}_{-2.(PL^{2}-H^{2})}$ $^{-0.16}_{-2.2}$ $^{-0.16}_{-2.2}$ $^{-0.16}_{-2.(PL^{2}-H^{2})}$ $^{-0.16}_{-2.2}$ $^{-0.16}_{-2.2}$ $^{-0.16}_{-2.(PL^{2}-H^{2})}$ $^{-0.16}_{-2.2}$ $^{-0.16}_{-2.2}$ $^{-0.16}_{-2.2}$ $^{-0.16}_{-2.2}$ $^{-0.16}_{-2.(PL^{2}-H^{2})}$ $^{-0.16}_{-2.2}$ $^{-0$					4,51s	J(PtOH) 18.0 ± 1	но
Isomer B 1.75t 7.2 33 3 (H ² -H ¹) 12.5 ± 1 H ¹ and H ² 3 (PH ² -H ¹) 2 ± 0.5 3 (PH ²) 2 ± 0.5 3 (PH ²) 2 ± 0.5 4 (PH ²) 2 ± 0.					0,905		CH3
$ [Pt(CH=CHPh)_{2}L_{2}] = 1.71t 7.2 \qquad 33 \qquad 3.7(PH^{1})_{2} \pm 0.5 \\ -7(PH^{1})_{2} \pm 0.5$	Isomer B	1.75t	7.2	33	≈6.7s	³ J(H ² -H ¹) 12,5 ± 1	H ¹ and H ²
$ \left[PtCH=CHPh_{2}L_{2} \right] 1.48t 7.0 33.2 7.24m Ph^{d} \\ \left[PtCH=CHPh_{2}L_{2} \right] 1.48t 7.0 33.2 7.24m Ph^{d} \\ 1.85t 7.3 31.5 6.29 4'(PH) 4.2 CH \\ \frac{3}{3}(Pt-H) 88 2.2 Ph^{d} \\ \frac{3}{2}(Pt-H) 13.9 Ph^{d} \\ \frac{3}{2}(Pt+H) 13.9 Ph^{d} \\ \frac{3}{2}(Pt+H) 100 Ph^{d} \\ \frac{4}{6}(S_{1}) Ph^{d} \\ \frac{4}{6}(S_{2}) Ph^{d} \\ \frac{1}{2}(Pt+H) 100 Ph^{d} \\ \frac{1}{2}(Pt+H) Ph^{d} \\ \frac{1}{2}(Pt+H) 100 Ph^{d} \\ \frac{1}{2}(Pt+H) Ph^{d} \\$		1.71t	7.2	33		3J(PH ¹) 2 ± 0.5 4J(PH ²) 2 ± 0.5 2J(PtH ¹) 38 ± 2 3J(PtH ²) 80 ± 2	
$ [Ptcl(Ccl=CHCH_2OMe)L_2] 1.85t 7.3 31.5 6.29 \frac{4}{}(rH) 4.2 CH \frac{1}{3}(rH_2-H) 7.2 CH \frac{3}{}(rH_2-H) 7.2 CH \frac{3}{}(rH_2-H) 88 CH \frac{1}{3}(rH_2-H) 100 $	[Pt(CH=CHPh),L,]	1,48t	7.0	33.2	7.24m		Ph^{d}
$ [Ptcl{Criectr}(Me)=CH_2]L_2 \ 1.80t \ 7.2 \ 31.5 \ toft \ \frac{3}{3}(Ft-H) \ RS \ 3.61d \ \frac{3}{3}(H-CH_2) \ 7.2 \ CH_2 \ 4.73 \ 3.06s \ 4.7(PtH) \ 13.9 \ OMe \ 3.06s \ 4.7(PtH) \ 13.9 \ OMe \ 3.06s \ 4.7(PtH) \ 100 \ CH \ 3.7(PtH) \ 100 \ CH \ 1.81t \ 7.4 \ 32.2 \ 5.50s \ 9^{\circ} \ CH \ 3.7(PtH) \ 100 \ CH_2 \ CH_2 \ CH_2 \ CH_2 \ CH_3 \ $	[PtCl(CCl=CHCH2OMe)L2]	1.85t	7.3	31,5	6,29	⁴ J(PH) 4.2	CH
$ [PtCl \{CCl=CHC(Me)=CH_2\}L_2] 1.86t 7.3 3.6.5 4.7(PtH) 13.9 0Me \\ 3.065 4.7(PtH) 13.9 0Me \\ 3.065 4.7(PtH) 3.5 0Me \\ 0.69t 4.7(PtH) 3.5 0He \\ 3.2,2 5.50s \}^{\circ} 0He \\ 4.63s 3.7(PtH) 100 0He \\ 1.90s 0H_3 \\ 0H_3 $		1.80t	7.2	31.5	t of t	³ J(CH ₂ —H) 7.2 ³ J(Pt—H) 88	
[PtCl{CCl=CHC(Me)=CH ₂ }L ₂] 1.86t 7.3 30.8 6.69t ⁴ J(PH) 3.5 OMe 1.81t 7.4 32.2 ^{3.} J(PtH) 100 CH 4.63s } ^σ (PtH) 100 CH ₂ (PtGl=CHC(Me)=CH ₂) CH 1.81t 7.4 32.2 ^{5.50s} (PtH) 100 CH ₂ (PtGl=CH2) CH2 (PtGl=CH2(Me)=CH2) CH2 (PtGl=CH					3,61d	³ J(HCH ₂) 7.2 ⁴ J(PtH) 13.9	CH ₂
[PtCl{CCl=CHC(Me)=CH ₂ }L ₂] 1.86t 7.3 30.8 6.69t ⁴ J(PH) 3.5 CH 1.81t 7.4 32.2 5.50s ³ J(PtH) 100 4.63s ⁵					3.06s		OMe
$5.50s$ $c_{4.63s}$ $c_{4.63s}$ c_{H_2} c_{H_3} $c_$	[PtCl {CCl=CHC(Me)=CH2} L2]	1.86t 1.81t	7.3	30.8 32.2	6,69t	⁴ J(РН) 3.5 ³ J(РtH) 100	CH
1,90s CH3					5,50s] e 4.63s		CH_2
					1.90s		CH ₃

^{*a*} In CDCl₃ at 90 MHz and ca. 25°C; δ values ± 0.01 ppm; *J* values ± 0.1 Hz unless shown otherwise, s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, m = multiplet (multiplicities exclude satellites due to 195-platinum coupling). ^{*b*} H¹ gem to Pt H² trans to Pt. ^{*c*} The AB-pattern of the vinyl protons could not be resolved. ^{*d*} Viniylic resonances hidden by Ph resonances. ^{*e*} Broad resonances.

. *

464

shows the presence of two isomers (A and B) in ca. 1/1 proportions. The data given and discussed below were calculated from spectra measured at 90 MHz in CDCl₃. A spectrum was also measured at 220 MHz and gave very similar data (the same within experimental error). The P-Me resonances occur as triplets at δ 1.71 and 1.75 ppm in each case $|^{2}J(P-H) + {}^{4}J(P-H)| = 7.2 \pm 0.5$ Hz with satellites due to 195-platinum ${}^{3}J(\text{Pt-H}) = 33 \pm 1.5$ Hz. The C-methyls absorp at $\delta(A) = 0.90$ ppm and $\delta(B) = 1.15$ ppm for the two isomers, which are present in 1/1 proportion, from the intensity of the signals. Interestingly, the OH-resonances show coupling to 195-platinum at δ 4.43 and 4.51 ppm; J(Pt-H) = 18 ± 1 Hz. On adding D₂O these resonances immediately disappear and the ratio of the intensities of the two C-methyl resonances, A/B, changes to ca. 1.5/1 from ca. 1/1 showing an isotope effect. The interaction of an alcohol hydroxyl group and platinum has been reported previously for complexes of type [PtCl(ac)(amine)] (where $ac \equiv a$ tertairy ethynyl alcohol). The evidence for the interaction was based on IR spectroscopy and no NMR data were given. The phenyl resonance consists of two broad multiplets centred at δ 7.38 and 7.55 ppm. The resonance patterns of the vinylic protons are complex. One isomer gives a centre band in the form of an $AA'BB'X_2$ spectrum and the other appears as a broad singlet. Analysis shows for isomer A $\delta(H^1) = 6.99$, $\delta(H^2) = 6.66$ ppm; $J(H^1-H^2) = 12.8 \pm 0.3$, $J(H^1-P) = 2.2 \pm 0.3$ and $J(H^2-P) = 2.2 \pm 0.3$ Hz and for isomer B $\frac{1}{2} \{ \delta(H^1) + \delta(H^2) \} = 6.7$. Analysis of the sidebands due to coupling with 195-platinum gives for isomer A $J(Pt-H^1) = 38 \pm 2$ and $J(Pt-H^2) = 76 \pm 2$ Hz and for isomer B $J(H^1-H^2) = 12.5 \pm 1$, $J(P-H^1) = 2 \pm 0.5$, $J(P-H^2) = 2 \pm 0.5$, $J(Pt-H^1) = 38 \pm 2$, $J(Pt-H^2) = 80 \pm 2$ Hz. The chemical shifts of the two protons H^1 and H^2 for isomer B must be very similar and the centre band could not be resolved. Because some of the satellite peaks overlap, the chemical shifts of these two protons could not be calculated accurately. However, assuming chemical shifts of 6.71 (H^1) and 6.69 (H^2) and using the above data satisfactory



(工)



(Ш)



simulations of the observed spectra were calculated. The ¹H NMR data for the mixture shows that (i) the PMe₂Ph ligands are mutually *trans*, (ii) the two hydrogens are on the double bond in a mutually *cis*-arrangement, (iii) the two isomers (A and B) are therefore probably rotational isomers (II) and (III) although we cannot say which is which, (iv) both hydroxyls are coupled equally to the platinum. This is clearly possible in (II) as shown and can be achieved in (III) by a dynamic interconversion with (IV). In the ³¹P NMR spectrum the two isomers absorp at different chemical shifts $\delta(H_3PO_4) = -9.80$ ppm; ¹J(Pt-P) = 2692 Hz and $\delta_{H_3PO_4} = -9.93$ ppm, ¹J(Pt-P) = 2682 Hz. The intensity ratio is ca. 1/1 and changes to ca. 1.4/1 on treatment with D₂O. Thus the isomer which gives the low field ³¹P resonances is responsible for the high field CH₃ resonance.

If trans-[Pt{CH=CHCMe₂(OH)}₂(PMe₂Ph)₂] is treated with an ethereal solution of hydrogen chloride, trans-[PtCl{CH=CHCMe₂OH}(PMe₂Ph)₂] is formed. This complex shows an OH-absorption band at 3295 cm⁻¹ in its IR spectrum, and a singlet OH resonance at δ 4.49 ppm, with platinum-195 satellites, J(Pt-OH) = 21 Hz, in its ¹H NMR spectrum. Only one CMe₂ resonance, at δ 0.92 ppm is present in the ¹H NMR spectrum, and the vinylic region shows an ABX₂-pattern with ABMX₂-satellites. The coupling ³J(H-H) of 10.8 Hz indicates *cis*-hydrogens on the double bond. The complex has thus configuration (V). The trans-coupling ³ $J(Pt-H^2)$ of 151 Hz is considerably larger than that in



trans-[Pt{CH=CHCMe₂OH}₂(PMe₂Ph)₂] but the gem-coupling ${}^{2}J(Pt-H^{1})$ of 34 Hz is very similar.

The vinylic region of the ¹H NMR spectrum of *trans*- $[Pt{CH=CHC_6H_{10}(OH)}_2$. (PMe₂Ph)₂] could not readily be completely analysed, so data are only available for one isomer (Table 2).

The ³¹P NMR data for the alkenyl—platinum complexes are recorded in Table 3. The complexes *trans*-[Pt{CH=CHC₆H₁₀(OH)}₂(PMe₂Ph)₂] and *trans*-[Pt(CH=CHPh)₂(PMe₂Ph)₂] like *trans*-[Pt{CH:CHCMe₂(OH)}₂(PMe₂Ph)₂], show different phosphorus resonances for the two rotational isomers (Table 3). *trans*-[Pt(CH=CHCH₂OMe)₂(PMe₂Ph)₂] shows only one type of phosphorus in its ³¹P NMR spectrum, indicating it has only one configuration: this is probably consequent on the smaller bulk of the CH₂OMe group. The coupling ¹J(Pt-P) for the four complexes lie in the range 2680 to 2860 Hz, which is in the range expected for *trans*-phosphines on platinum [21-23].

The ¹³C chemical shifts and coupling constants for *trans*-[Pt{CH=CHCMe₂-(OH)}₂(PMe₂Ph)₂] are recorded in Table 4. Since the complex exists as two isomers its ¹³C NMR spectrum is difficult to interpret fully. The coupling ¹J(Pt-C) is 533 Hz for both isomers, which is the correct magnitude for platinum bonded to an sp^2 -hybridized carbon atom cf. ¹J(Pt-C) = 594 Hz found for *trans*-[PtPh₂-

δ(H ₃ PO ₄)	ⁱ J(Pt—P)				
9.80	2692				
-9.93	2682				
-10.65	2696				
-10.88	2685				
-10.60	2859				
11.80	2849				
10.20	2810				
	$\frac{\delta(H_3PO_4)}{-9.80}$ -9.93 -10.65 -10.88 -10.60 -11.80 -10.20				

31P NMR DATA ^a FOR SOME ALKENYL-PLATINUM COMPLEXES

TABLE 3

^a Spectra recorded at 36.43 MHz in deuteriochloroform, with all ¹H nuclei decoupled and CDCl₃ used to provide a frequency lock. Chemical shifts are given relative to 85% H₃PO₄ (±0.1 ppm), J values ±2.5 Hz. ^b L = PMe₂Ph. ^c Measured in C₆D₆.

 $(PEt_3)_2$ [24]. Unfortunately the coupling ${}^2J(Pt-C)$ could not be measured because the signals were obscured. Two different resonances could be seen for the carbon directly bonded to the metal, and for the methyl resonance of the phosphines, attributable to the two isomeric forms. The resonances due to the other types of carbon were not resolved for the two isomers.

Preparation of alkenyl-platinum complexes by treating platinum acetylides with HCl

The reaction of protic acids, HX, with platinum acetylide complexes of the type trans- $[Pt(C \equiv CR)_2(PMe_2Ph)_2]$ depends very much on X, R, and the solvent used. Chisholm and Couch [25] found that on treating trans- $[Pt(C \equiv CMe)_2$ - $(PMe_2Ph)_2]$ with one mole of HCl per platinum atom in CH₂Cl₂, one of the acetylide ligands is cleaved giving trans- $[PtCl(C \equiv CMe)(PMe_2Ph)_2]$. If a second mole of HCl is added a mixture of cis- and trans- $[PtCl_2(PMe_2Ph)_2]$ is formed. If THF is used as solvent, and 2 moles of HCl per platinum atom are added then the main product is trans- $[PtCl(C \equiv CHMe)(PMe_2Ph)_2]$ [26]. Similarly HCl adds across the triple bonds of trans- $[Pt(C \equiv CCF_3)_2(PEt_3)_2]$ to give a trans-alkenyl complex, trans- $[Pt(CCl = CHCF_3)_2(PEt_3)_2]$.

If trans-[Pt(C=CCH₂OMe)₂(PMe₂Ph)₂] in C₆H₆ is treated with dry HCl (2 moles per Pt atom) a complex is formed that shows no triple bond absorption in its IR spectrum, but shows a band of medium strength at 1600 cm⁻¹ due to a carbon—carbon double bond. On the basis of analytical and IR and ¹H NMR evidence we assign to the complex the structure trans-[PtCl(CCl=CHCH₂OMe)-(PMe₂Ph)₂]. In the ¹H NMR spectrum the vinylic proton is a triplet of triplets, coupling to the methylene group ³J(H-H) = 7.2 Hz, and to phosphorus ⁴J(P-H) = 4.2 Hz. The proton also couples to platinum-195: the value of ³J(Pt-H) = 88.0 Hz, suggests that the platinum and the hydrogen are mutually *cis* on the double bond (since chlorine is the *trans*-ligand a ³J(Pt-H) *trans*-coupling would be expected to be >100 Hz). The methylene protons couple to the vinylic hydrogen ³J(H-H) = 7.2 Hz, and to platinum-195, ⁴J(Pt-H) = 13.9 Hz.

The IR spectrum of the product formed by treating trans-[Pt{C=CCMe₂-(OH)}₂(PMe₂Ph)₂] with dry HCl contains no absorption characteristic of a triple bond at ca. 2100 cm⁻¹, nor absorptions characteristic of an OH in the range

3400 to 3600 cm⁻¹, but shows strong absorption at 1600 cm⁻¹ indicating a double bond. This evidence and that obtained from the ¹H NMR spectrum leads us to assign the structure *trans*-[PtCl{CCl=CHC(Me)=CH₂}(PMe₂Ph)₂] for the product. In the ¹H NMR spectrum the vinylic proton resonance is a triplet, ⁴J(P-H) = 3.5 Hz at δ 6.69 ppm with platinum-195 satellites, ³J(Pt-H) = 100 Hz. The two terminal proton resonances are broad singlets at τ 4.50, and τ 5.37, broadening probably being due to coupling to the methyl group, and possibly due to coupling to platinum-195. The ¹H NMR data for the complexes are recorded in Table 2.

If trans-[Pt{CH=CCMe₂(OH)}₂(PMe₂Ph)₂] in C₆H₆ is treated with one mole of HCl per Pt atom then a mixture of off-white plates and yellow prisms is formed. The IR spectrum of this mixture shows no OH absorption, but shows peaks at 2110 and 2080 cm⁻¹ indicating a triple bond, and a peak at 1600 cm⁻¹ indicating a double bond. The product is probably a mixture of trans-[Pt{C=CC-(Me)=CH₂}₂(PMe₂Ph)₂] and trans-[PtCl{CC=CHC(Me)=CH₂}(PMe₂Ph)₂].

trans-[PtCl{CCl=CHC(Me)=CH₂}(PMe₂Ph)₂] was pyrolysed at 150°C, in vacuo; the gas evolved was identified as $HC=CC(Me)=CH_2$ by its IR spectrum and the residue was identified as cis-[PtCl₂(PMe₂Ph)₂]. A similar elimination occurs when trans-[PtCl₂(CCl=CClPh)₂(PPr₃)₂] (prepared by the action of Cl₂ on trans-[Pt(C=CPh)₂(PPr₃)₂]) is refluxed in MeOH [27].

Experimental

Melting points were determined on a Kopler hot-stage apparatus and are corrected. Infrared spectra were determined on a Perkin–Elmer R 457 (4000-250 cm⁻¹) or Grubb–Parsons D.B.3/D.N.2 (500-200 cm⁻¹). ¹H NMR spectra were recorded in deuteriochloroform at 60 MHz on a Perkin–Elmer R12A spectrometer or at 90 MHz on a Bruker HFX spectrometer. ³¹P (36.43 MHz) or ¹³C (22.62 MHz) were also determined on a Bruker HFX spectrometer at ca. 25° C.

Preparation of trans- $[Pt(CH=CHCH_2OMe)_2(PMe_2Ph)_2]$

Trans-[Pt(C=CCH₂OMe)₂(PMe₂Ph)₂] (0.20 g, 0.33 mmol) was dissolved in warm ethanol (5 ml). Hydrazine hydrate (0.13 ml, 2.64 mmol) was added, and the solution was put aside at room temperature. After three days white prisms of trans-[Pt(CH=CHCH₂OMe)₂(PMe₂Ph)₂] (0.11 g, 0.18 mmol, 53%) separated. It formed white prisms from benzene/hexane.

The following complexes were prepared in a similar manner. *trans*-[Pt- $(CH=CHPh)_2(PMe_2Ph)_2$], *trans*-[Pt{ $CH=CHCMe_2(OH)$ }_2(PMe_2Ph)_2], *trans*-{Pt-{ $CH=CHC_6H_{10}(OH)$ }_2(PMe_2Ph)_2]. These complexes crystallise from benzene/hexane.

Action of hydrogen chloride on trans- $[Pt(CH=CHPh)_2(PMe_2Ph)_2]$

Trans-[Pt(CH=CHPh)₂(PMe₂Ph)₂] (0.10 g, 0.15 mmol) in dry benzene (3 ml) was treated with an ethereal solution of hydrogen chloride (0.15 mmol). The solution was stirred for 40 min, when evaporation gave, as a white solid, trans-[PtCl(CH=CHPh)(PMe₂Ph)₂] (0.082 g, 0.13 mmol, 79%). It formed cream prisms from benzene/hexane.

Action of hydrogen chloride on trans- $[Pt{CH=CHCMe_2(OH)}_2(PMe_2Ph)_2]$

Trans-[Pt{CH=CHCMe₂(OH)}₂(PMe₂Ph)₂] (0.10 g, 0.16 mmol) in wet benzene (3 ml) was treated with an ethereal solution of hydrogen chloride (0.16 mmol). The solution was stirred for 30 min, when evaporation gave *trans*-[PtCl-{CH=CHCMe₂(OH)}(PMe₂Ph)₂] (0.073 g, 0.12 mmol, 92%) as a white solid. It formed white needles from n-hexane.

Preparation of alkenyl-platinum complexes by the action of hydrogen chloride on platinum acetylides

Trans-[PtCl(CCl=CHCH₂OMe)(PMe₂Ph)₂]. Trans-[Pt(C=CCH₂OMe)₂(PMe₂-Ph)₂] (0.70 g, 1.15 mmol) in dry benzene (5 ml) was treated with an ethereal solution of hydrogen chloride (2.30 mmol). The solution was stirred for 1 h, and evaporation, followed by trituration with light petroleum (b.p. 30-40°C) gave a white solid trans-[PtCl(CCl=CHCH₂OMe)(PMe₂Ph)₂] (0.38 g, 0.62 mmol. 54%). It forms as white prisms from n-hexane.

Trans-[PtCl{CCl=CHC(CH₃):CH₂}(PMe₂Ph)₂]. Trans-[Pt{C=CCMe₂(OH)}₂-(PMe₂Ph)₂] (0.20 g, 0.315 mmol) in dry benzene (4 ml) was treated with an ethereal solution of hydrogen chloride (0.63 mmol). The resulting orange solution was stirred for 20 min, and evaporation followed by trituration with light petroleum (b.p. 30-40°C) gave a yellow solid, trans-[PtCl{CCl=CHC(CH₃)=CH₂}-(PMe₂Ph)₂] (0.13 g, 0.22 mmol, 70%). It forms as yellow prisms from n-hexane.

Pyrolysis of trans-[$PtCl{CCl=CHC(CH_3)=CH_2}(PMe_2Ph)_2$]

Trans-[PtCl{CCl=CHC(CH₃)=CH₂}(PMe₂Ph)₂] was heated to 150°C in vacuo. The gas given off was collected in an IR gas cell. Its IR spectrum was identical with that of HC=CC(CH₃)=CH₂. Ethanol was added to the residue at room temperature, giving an off-white solid, *cis*-[PtCl₂(PMe₂Ph)₂] (0.05 g, 0.12 mmol, 67%).

Acknowledgement

We thank the Science Research Council for a studentship (to A.J.S.) and other support, Imperial Chemical Industries Ltd. for a fellowship (to H.D.E.) and Johnson Matthey Ltd and Engelhard Industries Ltd for the generous loan of platinum salts.

References

- 1 H.D. Empsall, B.L. Shaw and A.J. Stringer, J. Organometal. Chem., 94 (1975) 131.
- 2 J. Chatt and G.A. Rowe, International Conference on Coordination Chemistry, (1959) 117.
- 3 J. Chatt and B.L. Shaw, J. Chem. Soc., (1959) 4020.
- 4 C.D. Cook and G.S. Jauhal, Can. J. Chem., 45 (1967) 301.
- 5 J. Rajaram, R.G. Pearson and J.A. Ibers, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 96 (1974) 2103.
- 6 C.J. Cardin, D.J. Cardin and M.F. Lappert, J. Organometal. Chem., 60 (1973) C70.
- 7 H.C. Clark, J.H. Tsai and W.S. Tsang, Chem. Commun., (1965) 171.
- 8 H.C. Clark and W.S. Tsang, Chem. Commun., (1966) 123.
- 9 H.C. Clark and W.S. Tsang, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 89 (1967) 529.
- 10 D.A. Harbourne and F.G.A. Stone, J. Chem. Soc. A, (1968) 1765.
- 11 M.R. Smalley and W.H. Baddley, Diss. Abstr. B, 33 (1972) 1982.
- 12 D.M. Barlex, R.D.W. Kemmitt and G.W. Littlecott, Chem. Commun., (1969) 613.
- 13 B.E. Mann, B.L. Shaw and N.I. Tucker, Chem. Commun., (1970) 1333.

470

- 14 B.E. Mann, B.L. Shaw and N.I. Tucker, J. Chem. Soc. A, (1971) 2667.
- 15 W.S. McDonald and K. O'Flynn, unpublished.
- 16 G.G. Messmer and E.L. Amma, Inorg. Chem., 5 (1966) 1775.
- 17 J.D. Ruddick and B.L. Shaw, J. Chem. Soc. A, (1969) 2801.
- 18 J.D. Ruddick and B.L. Shaw, J. Chem. Soc. A, (1969) 2964.
- 19 T.G. Appleton, M.H. Chisholm, H.C. Clark and L.E. Manzer, Can. J. Chem., 51 (1973) 2243.
- 20 J.R. Moss and B.L. Shaw, J. Chem. Soc. A, (1966) 1793.
- 21 A. Pidcock, R.E. Richards and L.N. Venanzi, J. Chem. Soc. A, (1966) 1707.
- 22 S.O. Grim, R.L. Keiter and W. McFarlane, Inorg. Chem., 6 (1967) 1133.
- 23 W. McFarlane, J. Chem. Soc. A, (1967) 1922.
- 24 B.E. Mann, B.L. Shaw and B.L. Turtle, unpublished results.
- 25 M.H. Chisholm and D.A. Couch, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 2 (1974) 42.
- 26 M.I. Bruce, D.A. Harbourne, F. Waugh and F.G.A. Stone, J. Chem. Soc. A, (1968) 356.
- 27 R. Ettorre, J. Organometal. Chem., 19 (1969) 247.